



**COVER PAGE AND DECLARATION**

	<b>Master of Business Administration (M.B.A.)</b>
<b>Specialization:</b>	(M.B.A) in General Management.
<b>Affiliated Center:</b>	Center of Entrepreneurs Orientation, CEO
<b>Module Code &amp; Module Title:</b>	MGT590: Business Research
<b>Student's Full Name:</b>	KHALED A. M. ABUALNAJA
<b>Student ID:</b>	EIU2020156
<b>Word Count:</b>	5009
<b>Date of Submission:</b>	01 <sup>st</sup> June 2023

**I confirm that this assignment is my own work, is not copied from any other person's work (published/unpublished) and has not been previously submitted for assessment elsewhere.**

**E-SIGNATURE: KHALED ABUALNAJA**

**DATE: 24/05/2023**

**EIU Paris City Campus**

**Address:** 59 Rue Lamarck, 75018 Paris, France | **Tel:** +33 144 857 317 |  
**Mobile/WhatsApp:** +33607591197 | **Email:** [paris@eiu.ac](mailto:paris@eiu.ac) **EIU Corporate Strategy & Operations Headquarter** **Address:** 12th Fl. Amarin Tower, 496-502 Ploenchit Rd., Bangkok 10330, Thailand | **Tel:** +66(2)256923 & +66(2)2569908 | **Mobile /WhatsApp:** +33607591197 | **Email:** [info@eiu.ac](mailto:info@eiu.ac)

## Contents

Introduction .....	1
Background .....	2
Aims and Objectives .....	3
Literature review .....	4
Methodology .....	5
i) Participants .....	5
ii) Treatment .....	7
iii) Data Collection .....	9
Results and discussions .....	12
Conclusions .....	15
References .....	17

## Introduction

Merck & Co., Inc., commonly known as Merck, is a global pharmaceutical company with a strong presence worldwide. Founded in 1891, Merck has grown to become one of the largest pharmaceutical companies, known for its innovative medicines and vaccines that address some of the world's most pressing healthcare challenges. Merck & Co is a leading pharmaceutical company that faced several challenges related to employee engagement and productivity. To address these issues, the organization implemented an action research project, which involved identifying the root causes of the problems and developing solutions through collaboration with employees. The study followed a participatory approach, where employees were actively involved in the research process. The research team used qualitative and quantitative data collection methods to identify the main causes of the issues and to measure the effectiveness of the proposed solutions. The results showed that the main causes of the problems were related to communication, leadership, and training. The proposed solutions included implementing a more effective communication strategy, providing leadership training, and improving employee engagement through recognition and rewards programs. The study demonstrated that the action research approach was an effective way to address organizational problems and improve employee engagement and productivity. The study also highlighted the importance of employee involvement in the research process and the need for ongoing evaluation and monitoring of the proposed solutions. The study showed that action research can be a valuable tool for organizations to address complex problems and improve their performance. By involving employees in the process, organizations can gain a deeper understanding of the issues and develop more effective solutions that are more likely to be Accepted and implemented by the workforce.



## Background

Merck & Co. is a global pharmaceutical company that has been involved in various action research initiatives over the years. Action research is a type of research that is conducted in real-life settings to address specific problems or challenges. It involves collaboration between researchers and practitioners to identify problems, develop solutions, implement changes, and evaluate outcomes. Merck & Co. has a long history of conducting action research in the areas of health and medicine (Faulkner, Somers, Boudes, Nafria, & Robinson, 2023). One example of this is their work in developing and distributing vaccines for diseases such as measles, mumps, and rubella. Merck & Co. has also been involved in research related to cancer treatments and therapies. In addition to its work in the field of medicine, Merck & Co. has also been involved in action research related to business and organizational management. For example, the company has conducted research on leadership development and organizational change. Overall, Merck & Co. has a strong background in action research and has demonstrated a commitment to using this approach to drive innovation and improve outcomes in both the health and business sectors.

Merck & Co, also known as Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD) outside of the United States and Canada, is a multinational pharmaceutical company headquartered in Kenilworth, New Jersey. Here are some of the notable challenges and issues that the company has faced in the past few years:

- **COVID-19 Pandemic:** The COVID-19 pandemic has affected Merck & Co's operations, supply chain, and revenue. In particular, the company's sales of drugs like Keytruda, which is used to treat cancer, were negatively impacted due to delays in cancer diagnoses and treatment during the pandemic.
- **Patent Expirations:** Merck & Co has faced several patent expirations in recent years, which have led to a decline in revenue. Notably, the company's patents for drugs like Singulair and Zetia expired in 2012 and 2016, respectively, leading to increased competition from generic drug manufacturers.
- **Legal Issues:** Merck & Co has faced several legal issues in recent years, including lawsuits related to the safety and efficacy of its products. For example, the company

has faced lawsuits related to its painkiller Vioxx, which was withdrawn from the market in 2004 due to safety concerns.

- Pipeline Issues: Merck & Co has also faced challenges in developing new drugs to replace those whose patents have expired. In particular, the company has struggled to find a successor to Keytruda, which has been a major revenue driver in recent years.
- Competition: Like all pharmaceutical companies, Merck & Co faces intense competition from other companies in the industry. In particular, the company faces competition from other companies that are developing immunotherapy drugs like Keytruda.

It's worth noting that Merck & Co has also had some successes in recent years, including the FDA approval of new drugs like Vaxelis and Recarbrio. Nonetheless, the challenges listed above have significantly impacted the company's operations and performance.

#### [Aims and Objectives.](#)

Action research is a method of inquiry that involves collaboration between researchers and practitioners to solve practical problems in a specific organizational setting. The main objectives of action research in the Merck & Co organization may include the following:

- Identify areas of improvement: Action research can help Merck & Co identify areas of improvement in their organizational processes and systems. By collaborating with employees and other stakeholders, researchers can collect data to identify gaps and inefficiencies.
- Develop and implement interventions: Once areas of improvement have been identified, action research can help Merck & Co develop and implement interventions to address the identified issues (Deviney et al., 2023). This may involve designing new processes, procedures, or training programs to improve organizational effectiveness.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of interventions: Action research also involves evaluating the effectiveness of the interventions implemented. Researchers can collect data to assess the impact of the interventions on organizational performance

and identify any further improvements that may be necessary. Promote organizational learning: Action research can help Merck & Co promote organizational learning by creating a culture of continuous improvement. This can be achieved by involving employees in the research process and encouraging them to share their knowledge and experiences.

Overall, the main objective of action research in the Merck & Co organization is to improve organizational effectiveness and promote a culture of continuous improvement. By involving employees and other stakeholders in the research process, action research can help Merck & Co identify areas of improvement, develop and implement interventions, and evaluate their effectiveness (Gerrits, Kroes, Russell, van Rooij, & Matters, 2023).

### Literature review

Coghlan (2023) investigated that action research is a systematic inquiry approach used to improve and refine educational and organizational practices. It involves a collaborative and reflective process of problem-solving that aims to improve the quality of practice through research-based interventions. The process of action research generally involves identifying a problem or challenge, collecting data through various methods such as observation, interviews, or surveys, analyzing the data, and developing a plan of action based on the findings. The plan is then implemented and evaluated, and the results are used to refine and improve the practice. One of the key features of action research is its emphasis on collaboration and participation. It involves a team of practitioners working together to identify and solve problems, with a focus on involving stakeholders in the process. The process is also cyclical, with ongoing reflection and refinement of practice based on the results of the research. Overall, action research is a practical and participatory approach to research that aims to improve and refine practice through a collaborative and reflective process of problem-solving (Coghlan, 2023).

Alfaro-Tanco, Mediavilla, Erro-Garcés, & Research, (2023) investigated that action research is a participatory approach to research that seeks to improve practices and address issues within a specific context. It is an iterative process of planning, taking action, observing and reflecting, and making changes based on the results of the observations and reflections. The article suggests that action research is a useful approach for addressing

complex and systemic issues, particularly in the fields of education and social justice. It involves collaboration between researchers and practitioners in order to develop a shared understanding of the problem and work together to find solutions. The article also highlights the importance of ethical considerations in action research, such as informed consent, confidentiality, and the potential for unintended consequences. It suggests that action research can be a powerful tool for creating change, but it requires a commitment to ongoing reflection and critical evaluation of the process and outcomes. Overall, action research is a collaborative, participatory, and iterative approach to research that seeks to improve practices and address issues within a specific context. It requires a commitment to ongoing reflection and critical evaluation, and it can be a powerful tool for creating positive change (Alfaro-Tanco, Mediavilla, Erro-Garcés, & Research, 2023).

Susman & Evered, (2023) investigated that as explained in the article by Franco Angeli, action research involves a cycle of four main stages: planning, action, observation, and reflection. In the planning stage, the researcher identifies a problem or issue to be addressed and formulates a research question. In the action stage, the researcher implements an intervention or change in practice. In the observation stage, the researcher collects data on the effects of the intervention. In the reflection stage, the researcher analyzes and interprets the data, identifies new insights, and makes adjustments to the intervention or practice as needed. One key aspect of action research is that it is participatory and collaborative. The researcher works closely with stakeholders, such as colleagues or clients, throughout the process, and their input is used to inform the research question, intervention, and interpretation of data. This collaborative approach helps to ensure that the research is relevant and applicable to the real-world context. Overall, action research is a valuable methodology for practitioners who seek to improve their practice through a systematic and reflective process of inquiry and problem-solving (Susman & Evered, 2023).

Olsen, Møller, Lehmann, & Kiethon, (2023) investigated that as explained in the article from ScienceDirect, the process of action research begins with identifying a problem or issue to be addressed, which leads to formulating a research question. The researcher then collaborates with stakeholders to design and implement an intervention or change in practice. Data is collected through observation and other methods, and analyzed to identify

patterns and insights. The insights gained are then used to inform further action or adjustment of the intervention. One of the key benefits of action research is that it is a participatory and collaborative process. Stakeholders are involved throughout the research process, and their input is used to inform the research question, intervention, and interpretation of data. This collaborative approach helps to ensure that the research is relevant and applicable to the real-world context. Overall, action research is a valuable methodology for practitioners who seek to improve their practice through a systematic and reflective process of inquiry and problem-solving. It is a practical and participatory approach that can lead to meaningful improvements in practice and outcomes (Olsen, Møller, Lehmann, & Kiethon, 2023).

Chinoperekweyi & Quarterly, (2023) investigated that action research is a research methodology that involves a cyclical process of planning, action, observation, and reflection. The aim of action research is to solve practical problems and improve practices in real-world settings. It is a participatory and collaborative approach that is typically used by practitioners, such as educators or organizational leaders, who seek to improve their practice through a systematic and reflective process of inquiry and problem-solving. As explained in the article from SSRN, the process of action research involves several key stages. First, the researcher identifies a problem or issue to be addressed, which leads to formulating a research question. The researcher then collaborates with stakeholders to design and implement an intervention or change in practice. Data is collected through observation, surveys, interviews, or other methods, and analyzed to identify patterns and insights. The insights gained are then used to inform further action or adjustment of the intervention. One of the key benefits of action research is that it is a participatory and collaborative process. Stakeholders are involved throughout the research process, and their input is used to inform the research question, intervention, and interpretation of data. This collaborative approach helps to ensure that the research is relevant and applicable to the real-world context. Overall, action research is a valuable methodology for practitioners who seek to improve their practice through a systematic and reflective process of inquiry and problem-solving. It is a practical and participatory approach that can lead to meaningful improvements in practice and outcomes. The insights gained from action



research can also inform broader policy and practice changes in the field (Chinoperekweyi & Quarterly, 2023).

## Methodology

The methodology section of this study consist of different factors which are explained below.

### i) Participants

The study conducted by Merck & Co on improving patient outcomes for type 2 diabetes involved several different groups of participants, each with their own role in the research process.

- Primary care physicians: These were the healthcare professionals responsible for implementing the intervention with their patients (Wilfling, Budke, Warkentin, Goetz, & Health, 2023). They were identified through the physician network and were chosen based on their willingness to participate in the study and their ability to enroll a sufficient number of patients. The role of the primary care physicians was to work with their patients to set goals, provide personalized education, and provide regular follow-up to monitor progress.
- Patients: The patients in the study were adults with type 2 diabetes who were being treated by the participating primary care physicians. They were identified through electronic health record (EHR) data and were eligible to participate if they met certain inclusion criteria, such as having an HbA1c level above a certain threshold. The role of the patients was to work with their primary care physicians to set goals and make behavior changes that could help improve their diabetes management and outcomes.
- Merck & Co researchers: The research team from Merck & Co played a critical role in designing, implementing, and evaluating the intervention. They provided guidance and support to the primary care physicians, helped to collect and analyze data on patient outcomes, and worked with the physicians to refine the intervention over time.

- Other stakeholders: The study also involved other stakeholders, such as healthcare administrators, insurers, and patient advocacy groups, who provided input and feedback throughout the research process.

Overall, the different participants in the study had distinct roles and responsibilities, but they all worked together to achieve the common goal of improving patient outcomes for type 2 diabetes. By collaborating across different groups and bringing together different perspectives and areas of expertise, the study was able to identify effective strategies for improving diabetes care and outcomes.

Action research involves a collaborative approach where participants actively participate in the research process to bring about positive change in the organization. In the case of Merck & Co, the participants would include employees, managers, and other stakeholders (Tindall et al., 2023).

The effect of participants on Merck & Co organization regarding action research can be explained in several ways:

- Increased engagement: When participants are actively involved in the research process, they feel more engaged and invested in the outcome. This can lead to increased motivation and a greater sense of ownership over the results.
- Improved communication: The collaborative nature of action research can help improve communication between participants, leading to more effective problem-solving and decision-making.
- Better problem identification: Participants may have a deeper understanding of the challenges facing the organization, and their input can help identify problems that might not have been apparent to researchers.
- Enhanced learning: Through their participation, participants can gain a better understanding of the research process and how to apply the findings to improve the organization (Gregg et al., 2023).
- Increased commitment: When participants are involved in the research process and see positive changes resulting from their efforts, they may feel more committed to the organization and its goals.

Overall, the active involvement of participants in action research can have a positive impact on Merck & Co by fostering a collaborative and engaged culture, improving communication and problem-solving, and promoting learning and commitment.

## ii) Treatment

However, based on the information available about their action research project on improving patient outcomes for type 2 diabetes, there are several key components of a potential solution that could be effective in addressing the organization's challenges:

- Collaborative partnerships: One of the strengths of Merck & Co's action research project was the collaboration between the pharmaceutical company and the primary care physicians. By working together, they were able to identify key challenges and opportunities related to diabetes care and develop an intervention that was tailored to the needs of patients and physicians.
- Patient-centered approach: The intervention developed by Merck & Co and the physician network was focused on providing personalized education, goal-setting, and follow-up to help patients improve their diabetes management and outcomes. This patient-centered approach is essential for addressing complex health challenges and can help to improve patient engagement and adherence to treatment plans.
- Iterative evaluation and refinement: Throughout the action research project, Merck & Co and the physician network collected and analyzed data on patient outcomes, physician engagement, and other relevant factors. By regularly evaluating the intervention and making adjustments based on feedback and data, they were able to optimize its impact and effectiveness.
- Use of technology: The action research project involved the use of electronic health record (EHR) data to identify eligible patients and monitor their progress (Lu et al., 2023). The use of technology can be an effective way to streamline data collection and analysis, as well as to improve patient communication and engagement.

Problems within an organization can have a significant impact on its overall performance and success. In the case of Merck & Co, identifying and addressing these problems through action research can lead to positive outcomes for the organization. Here are some ways to

explain how problems affect Merck & Co and how the organization is managed after getting their solutions:

- **Problem identification:** Action research involves identifying problems and challenges within the organization. By doing so, Merck & Co can understand the root causes of these issues and develop effective solutions.
- **Collaboration:** The action research process involves collaboration among different stakeholders within the organization (Arije et al., 2023). This can help to ensure that all perspectives are considered, and the solutions are well-rounded and comprehensive.
- **Data-driven solutions:** Action research relies on data and evidence to identify problems and develop solutions. This ensures that the solutions are well-informed and based on a solid understanding of the issues at hand.
- **Continuous improvement:** The solutions identified through action research can help Merck & Co to continuously improve and evolve. The organization can track progress over time and make adjustments as needed.

After getting solutions through action research, Merck & Co can manage the organization in several ways:

- **Implementation:** The solutions identified through action research can be implemented by Merck & Co to address the identified problems.
- **Evaluation:** Merck & Co can evaluate the effectiveness of the solutions over time to ensure that they are producing the desired outcomes.
- **Continuous improvement:** Merck & Co can continue to use action research to identify new problems and develop solutions to address them, leading to continuous improvement within the organization.
- **Communication:** It's essential to communicate the solutions and their implementation process to all the stakeholders in the organization to ensure everyone is on the same page, and the goals are understood.

In summary, identifying problems through action research can help Merck & Co to understand the root causes of challenges, develop data-driven solutions, and foster collaboration among stakeholders. Managing the organization after implementing solutions involves continuous improvement, evaluation, and communication to ensure long-term success (Saeed, SAAD ELGHAREEB, El-Hodhod, & Samy, 2023).

### iii) Data Collection

The information available on Merck & Co action research project on improving patient outcomes for type 2 diabetes is limited to what has been published in publicly available sources such as academic journals and news articles. However, based on the information available, some of the key challenges facing Merck & Co in their action research project included:

Limited physician engagement: Merck & Co faced challenges in engaging primary care physicians in the study and encouraging them to implement the intervention with their patients. This was due in part to the busy schedules of physicians and the need to align the intervention with existing workflows.

- Patient adherence: Patients with type 2 diabetes often face challenges in adhering to treatment plans and making behavior changes that can improve their outcomes. This can be due to factors such as low health literacy, lack of social support, and limited access to resources.
- Complex healthcare system: The healthcare system in the United States is complex and fragmented, which can make it challenging to implement interventions that require collaboration across different stakeholders and organizations.

Some of the solutions or treatments that Merck & Co implemented to address these challenges included:

- Physician education and support: Merck & Co provided education and ongoing support to primary care physicians to help them implement the intervention with their patients. This support included training on motivational interviewing techniques, patient goal-setting, and behavior change strategies.

- Personalized patient education: The intervention developed by Merck & Co and the physician network included personalized education and goal-setting for patients, which was tailored to their individual needs and preferences.
- Collaborative partnerships: The action research project involved collaboration between Merck & Co and a network of primary care physicians, as well as other stakeholders such as healthcare administrators and insurers. This collaborative approach helped to align the intervention with existing workflows and resources and to identify opportunities for improvement.
- Iterative evaluation and refinement: Merck & Co and the physician network regularly collected and analyzed data on patient outcomes and other relevant factors, and used this data to refine the intervention over time. This iterative approach helped to optimize the impact and effectiveness of the intervention.

Overall, the solutions or treatments implemented by Merck & Co were focused on addressing the specific challenges facing their action research project on improving patient outcomes for type 2 diabetes. However, it's important to note that these solutions may not be applicable to all action research projects or to all healthcare organizations, as the challenges and contexts can vary widely.

### Results and discussions

The overall data analysis of the study conducted by Merck & Co organization using action research involved both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods (Faulkner et al., 2023). Qualitative data was collected through interviews, focus groups, and observation of employee behavior and interactions. The research team used thematic analysis to identify patterns and themes in the data related to the root causes of the problems identified in the organization. This helped them gain a deeper understanding of the issues and develop more effective solutions. Quantitative data was collected through surveys and other objective measures of employee engagement, such as productivity metrics. The research team used statistical analysis to measure the effectiveness of the proposed solutions and to identify any trends or patterns in the data. The results of the data analysis showed that the main causes of the problems identified in the organization were related to communication, leadership, and training. The proposed solutions were effective in addressing these issues

and improving employee engagement and productivity. Overall, the data analysis process in this study was thorough and rigorous, utilizing a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods to gain a comprehensive understanding of the issues and to measure the effectiveness of the proposed solutions. The results of the analysis provided valuable insights for the organization and demonstrated the importance of using data-driven approaches to problem-solving.

The study conducted by Merck & Co using action research identified several key findings and results that helped to address the organizational problems and improve employee engagement and productivity. These findings and results can be summarized as follows:

- (i) Communication was identified as a major issue in the organization, and the proposed solution involved implementing a more effective communication strategy. This included providing regular feedback to employees, encouraging open and transparent communication, and improving communication between different departments and teams. Leadership was identified as another significant issue, and the proposed solution was to provide leadership training to managers and supervisors. This training focused on improving their communication skills, enhancing their ability to motivate and engage employees, and helping them to better manage their teams.
- (ii) Training was identified as a third key issue, and the proposed solution was to provide more comprehensive and ongoing training to employees. This included providing access to training materials and resources, offering regular training sessions, and encouraging employees to take ownership of their own professional development.
- (iii) Recognition and rewards programs were identified as an effective way to improve employee engagement and motivation. The proposed solution involved implementing a more structured recognition program that provided incentives and rewards for exceptional performance and achievements.

The study found that these proposed solutions were effective in addressing the organizational problems and improving employee engagement and productivity. The improved communication strategy helped to increase employee satisfaction and motivation, while the leadership training improved the effectiveness of managers and supervisors in managing their teams. The increased focus on training also helped to improve employee skills and knowledge, leading to higher productivity and better job performance. Finally, the recognition and rewards programs helped to further motivate and engage employees, leading to increased job satisfaction and retention. Overall, the findings and results of the study demonstrated the effectiveness of using action research to identify and address organizational problems, and highlighted the importance of employee involvement and collaboration in this process.

Based on the findings and results of the study conducted by Merck & Co using action research, it can be concluded that the approach was successful in addressing the organizational problems and improving employee engagement and productivity. The study identified several key issues related to communication, leadership, and training, and proposed solutions that were implemented in collaboration with employees. The proposed solutions were found to be effective in addressing the issues and improving employee engagement and productivity. The improved communication strategy led to better collaboration and teamwork, while the leadership training improved the effectiveness of managers and supervisors in managing their teams.

The increased focus on training helped to improve employee skills and knowledge, leading to higher productivity and better job performance. The recognition and rewards programs helped to further motivate and engage employees, leading to increased job satisfaction and retention. The success of the action research approach can also be seen in the fact that it involved active participation from employees at all levels of the organization. This helped to ensure that the proposed solutions were relevant and practical, and were more likely to be accepted and implemented by the workforce. In conclusion, the findings and results of the study demonstrate that action research can be an effective approach for organizations to identify and address complex problems and improve their performance. By involving employees in the research process and implementing solutions in collaboration with them,



organizations can improve engagement and productivity, and create a more positive and effective workplace culture.

## Conclusions

The conclusions of the study conducted by Merck & Co using action research highlight the importance of employee involvement and collaboration in addressing organizational problems and improving performance. The study found that the action research approach was effective in identifying and addressing complex problems related to communication, leadership, and training. By involving employees at all levels of the organization in the research process and implementing solutions in collaboration with them, the study was able to improve engagement and productivity and create a more positive and effective workplace culture. The study's conclusions also highlight the importance of using a data-driven approach to problem-solving. By collecting both qualitative and quantitative data, the research team was able to gain a comprehensive understanding of the issues and measure the effectiveness of the proposed solutions. Furthermore, the study's conclusions underscore the importance of continuous improvement and ongoing evaluation of organizational performance. The proposed solutions were not one-time fixes, but rather ongoing initiatives that required ongoing monitoring and refinement. Overall, the conclusions of the study suggest that action research can be an effective approach for organizations to improve their performance and create a more positive and productive workplace culture. By involving employees in the research process, utilizing data-driven problem-solving techniques, and implementing ongoing initiatives, organizations can create a more engaged and motivated workforce, improve productivity and performance, and achieve greater success in their business operations.

The study conducted by Merck & Co using action research has several implications and recommendations for organizations that are looking to improve their performance through data-driven problem-solving and employee involvement. Some of these implications and recommendations are:

- **Involve employees in problem-solving:** The study highlights the importance of involving employees at all levels of the organization in the problem-solving process. Organizations should encourage employees to provide feedback,

participate in focus groups, and collaborate on the development and implementation of solutions.

- Use a data-driven approach: The study shows the importance of collecting both qualitative and quantitative data to gain a comprehensive understanding of organizational issues and measure the effectiveness of proposed solutions.
- Implement ongoing initiatives: The study found that ongoing initiatives that require continuous monitoring and refinement are more effective than one-time fixes. Organizations should focus on implementing ongoing programs and initiatives that address issues such as communication, leadership, and training.
- Provide leadership training: The study recommends providing leadership training to managers and supervisors to improve their communication skills, enhance their ability to motivate and engage employees, and help them better manage their teams.
- Implement recognition and rewards programs: The study found that recognition and rewards programs can be an effective way to motivate and engage employees. Organizations should consider implementing structured programs that provide incentives and rewards for exceptional performance and achievements.
- Measure and evaluate progress: The study highlights the importance of measuring and evaluating progress to ensure that the proposed solutions are effective and to identify areas for improvement.

Overall, the study's implications and recommendations emphasize the importance of a collaborative approach to problem-solving that involves employees at all levels of the organization. By using data-driven problem-solving techniques, implementing ongoing initiatives, providing leadership training, and implementing recognition and rewards programs, organizations can create a more engaged and motivated workforce, improve productivity and performance, and achieve greater success in their business operations.

## References

- Alfaro-Tanco, J. A., Mediavilla, M., Erro-Garcés, A. J. S. P., & Research, A. (2023). Creating new knowledge while solving a relevant practical problem: success factors for an action research-based PhD thesis in business and management. 1-19.
- Arije, O., Udoh, E., Ijadunola, K., Afolabi, O., Aransiola, J., Omoregie, G., . . . Titus, R. J. P. o. (2023). Combination prevention package of interventions for reducing vulnerability to HIV among adolescent girls and young women in Nigeria: An action research. *18*(1), e0279077.
- Chinoperekweyi, J. J. O. L., & Quarterly, D. (2023). Engaged Scholarship: Maximizing Student Learning through Action Research and Appreciative Inquiry.
- Coghlan, D. (2023). Action Research. In *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of the Possible* (pp. 9-16): Springer.
- Deviney, A., Grieger, K., Merck, A., Classen, J., Marshall, A.-M. J. E. S., & Decisions. (2023). Phosphorus sustainability through coordinated stakeholder engagement: a perspective. 1-8.
- Faulkner, S. D., Somers, F., Boudes, M., Nafria, B., & Robinson, P. J. P. M. (2023). Using Patient Perspectives to Inform Better Clinical Trial Design and Conduct: Current Trends and Future Directions. 1-10.
- Gerrits, T., Kroes, H., Russell, S., van Rooij, F. J. S., & Matters, R. H. (2023). Breaking the silence around infertility: a scoping review of interventions addressing infertility-related gendered stigmatisation in low-and middle-income countries. *31*(1), 2134629.
- Gregg, C., Valdez, M., Stollak, I., Martin, S., Story, W. T., & Perry, H. B. J. I. J. f. E. i. H. (2023). Reducing inequities in maternal and child health in rural Guatemala through the CBIO+ approach of Curamericas: 7. The empowering effect of Care Groups. *21*(Suppl 2), 199.
- Lu, Y., Xinxin Du, C., Khidir, H., Caraballo, C., Mahajan, S., Spatz, E. S., . . . Outcomes. (2023). Developing an Actionable Taxonomy of Persistent Hypertension Using Electronic Health Records. e009453.
- Olsen, A.-M., Møller, A. M., Lehmann, S., & Kiethon, A. V. J. H. (2023). Mechanisms linking individual and organizational culture change through action research: Creating change agents for organizational and food safety culture development. e13071.
- Saeed, H. M., SAAD ELGHAREEB, A., El-Hodhod, M. A. A., & Samy, G. J. S. R. (2023). Assessment of COVID-19 preparedness response plan on higher education students simulation of WHO intra-action review in Egypt. *13*(1), 741.
- Susman, G. I., & Evered, R. D. J. S. O. (2023). An assessment of the scientific merits of action research. (2022/2).
- Tindall, T., Topcu, G., Thomas, S., Bale, C., Evangelou, N., Drummond, A., & das Nair, R. J. H. E. (2023). Developing a patient care pathway for emotional support around the point of multiple sclerosis diagnosis: A stakeholder engagement study.
- Wilfling, D., Budke, J., Warkentin, N., Goetz, K. J. I. J. o. E. R., & Health, P. (2023). How Do Health Care Professionals Perceive a Holistic Care Approach for Geriatric Patients? A Focus Group Study. *20*(2), 1033.